



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,983	07/10/2001	S. Indiran Pather	CIMA 3.0-036	7927
530 7	7590 09/25/2002			
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			EXAMINER	
	VENUE WEST		AZPURU, C	ARLOS A
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	$\mathcal{Q}$
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2002	$\mathcal{O}$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/901,983** 

Applicant(s)

Pather et al

Examiner

Carlos Azpuru

Art Unit 1615



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
	for Reply			
THE N	•	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM  no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	I date of this communication. beriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. le application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance $\epsilon$ closed in accordance with the practice under $\epsilon x$ particles.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-46	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
	tion Papers			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.		
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the			
14)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	•		
a) ∟ 15) □	The translation of the foreign language provisiona Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
Attachm		priority under 35 0.5.C. 33 120 and/or 121.		
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4-6 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/901,983

Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of the information disclosure statements filed 12/07/01, 03/19/02, and 06/03/02. A preliminary amendment was filed 07/16/02.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al.

Zhang et al disclose a formulation and method for oral transmucosal delivery of a pharmaceutical (see Abstract). The formulation may contain a pH segregation so that the pH in the particles is optimized so that the drug is in ionized (higher dissolution), while the rest of the formulation is pH adjusted for higher absorption (see col. 7, lines 65 et seq; col. 8, lines 1-16). This adjustment utilizes either acids or bases depending on whether the drug is basic or acidic, respectively. Further, while in storage, the pharmaceutical agent and buffer are separated (see claim 5). Further, the drug exists in a microenvironment which promotes the stability of the drug, while the rest of the formulation promotes absorption. The absorption may occur by adjustments in the environmental ph (see col. 9, lines 35-36. Drugs for incorporation into this partitioned pharmaceutical are listed at col. 9, lines through col. 11, lines 1-24. The formulation may

Page 3

be formulated as a patch, lozenge, lollipop, or chewing gum. Controlled release is achieved by manipulating the drug dissolution and disintegration rate of the formulation (see col. 8, lines 60-62). The instant method of transmucosally delivering a pharmaceutical and transmucosal pharmaceutical itself would have been well within the skill of the ordinary practitioner given the claims of Zhang et al which deliver an agent to a desired site by adjusting the local environment of the active ingredient to promote dissolution within the particle, and then adjusting the formulation of the rest of the formulation to promote absorption. Those of ordinary skill would expect similar therapeutic results from the instant method and formulation given the disclosure of Zhang et al. Therefore, the instant invention would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art given the disclosure of Zhang et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is 703/308-0237. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,983

Art Unit: 1615

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

ca

September 24, 2002

PRIMARY EXAMINER